

In connection with the above-referenced application, enclosed herewith for filing is:

### **IN THE SPECIFICATION**

As the term “cruciform” was only referenced in the herein Cancelled Claim 59, correction as a result of its absence from the Specification should no longer be required. Alternatively, only if the Examiner feels such correction is still merited, then Applicant respectfully requests that the term “cruciform” be entered into the specification at FIG. 42 of the Description of the Drawings, so that the Specification would be amended to read: “FIG. 42 are perspective views of a devices with texturized and smooth anterior plates with sloping gradations and tack holes demonstrating cruciform interior ribs and having border edges.”

As the term “border edges” was only referenced in the herein Cancelled Claim 59, correction of its absence from the Specification should no longer be required. Alternatively, only if the Examiner feels such correction is still merited, then Applicant respectfully requests that the term “border edges” be entered into the specification at FIG. 42 of the Description of the Drawings, so that the Specification would be amended to read: “FIG. 42 are perspective views of a devices with texturized and smooth anterior plates with sloping gradations and tack holes demonstrating cruciform interior ribs and having border edges.”

### **IN THE CLAIMS**

Enclosed, please find “Claims Appendix F” which discloses amendments to the claims language. In order to de-clutter and thereby further enhance the possibility of the Examiner’s finding this Application now in a condition suitable for allowance, Applicant has cancelled dependent claims 52 and 53, despite Applicant’s understanding that such dependant claims would likely have been able to have been rewritten in an allowable manner. Claim 66 which was objected to because of informalities has been cancelled, as well. Accordingly, only Independent Claims having the numerical indicators 50, 51 and 55 are now presented, and so presented in the manner which the Examiner indicated during the recent Telephonic Interview should permit allowance of this Application. Such claims that the Examiner suggested should be cancelled in the Office Action to which this is a Response have, in fact, been cancelled, and Claims 50, 51, and 55 have been rewritten and all are in independent form including any/all limitations indicated by the Examiner.